



Frequency Agile Ferroelectric Filters, Power Dividers, and Couplers

International Microwave Symposium 2009

R. Weigel and E. Lourandakis





Outline

- Motivation
- Tunable Passive Components
 - Ferroelectric Varactors
- Frequency Agile Filters
- Frequency Agile Power Dividers & Couplers
- Prototype Implementation & Results
- Reconfigurable Amplifier Concept
- Conclusion & Outlook





Motivation



- Increasing number of communication bands
- Additional wireless services, e.g. GPS, WiMAX
- Demand for reconfigurable front-end solutions







Ferroelectric Materials - BST



- Nonlinear response to E field variation
- Tunability of 60% at 20V
- Voltage and temperature dependence
- Piezoelectric behavior
 IEEE



Ferroelectric Thin-Film Varactors



Ferroelectric Thin-Film Varactors



- RF "modulates" capacitance
- RF swing is reduced by cascaded varactors
- Large capacitances are needed

Possible for MIM capacitor



Analytical Filter Design – Lowpass



- Chebyshev lowpass filter
- Analytical formulas for zero locations

 $z_{2} = \jmath \omega_{2} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2CL_{3}(-2L_{1}L_{3} - 2L_{1}^{2} + Z_{0}^{2}CL_{3} + \alpha)}}{2CL_{1}L_{3}}$ $z_{3} = \jmath \omega_{3} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2CL_{3}(-2L_{1}L_{3} - 2L_{1}^{2} + Z_{0}^{2}CL_{3} - \alpha)}}{2CL_{1}L_{3}}$ $\alpha = \sqrt{-4L_{1}L_{3}^{2}Z_{0}^{2}C + 4L_{1}^{4} + 4L_{1}^{2}L_{3}Z_{0}^{2}C + L_{3}^{2}Z_{0}^{4}C^{2}}$







7

Frequency Agile Lowpass



- Assumed tunability of 60% for BST varactors
- Multiband tuning from 1.5 2.3 GHz
- Changing C results in shifted zero locations







Analytical Filter Design – Notch Filter



- Notch filter
- Analytical formulas for zero and pole locations

zero
$$z_1 = \jmath \omega_1 = \pm \sqrt{-2/[(L_1 + L_2)C]}$$

pole $p_2 = \jmath \omega_2 = \pm \sqrt{-2/(L_2C)}$







Frequency Agile Notch Filter



- Assumed tunability of 60% for BST varactors
- Multiband tuning from 1.7 2.7 GHz
- Changing C results in shifted zeros and poles







Analytical Filter Design – Combline Filter



Tuning principle





- Compact filter dimensions
- Most suitable topology
- Asymmetric pole allocation







Modified Combline Filter



$\lambda/4$ Based Microwave Circuits



Equivalent λ/4 Segments – Distributed Lowpass



Quarter-wavelength segment $M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & jZ \\ j/Z & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ (1)

Equivalent lowpass segment

 $\begin{bmatrix} -\omega C_{eq}Z + 1/\sqrt{2} & jZ \\ j[\omega\sqrt{2}C_{eq}Z + (1/2) - \omega^2 C_{eq}^2 Z^2]/Z & -\omega C_{eq}Z + 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} (2)$

Comparing (1) and (2) results in



 $C_{eq} = \frac{1}{\omega\sqrt{2Z}} = \frac{1}{2\pi f\sqrt{2Z}}$

Signifficant size reduction



Frequency Agile $\lambda/4$ Segments



Assumed tunability of 60%





Normalized Frequency

2

15

Reduced Size Tunable Wilkinson Divider



- Size reduction 50%
- Multiband tuning
- Assumed tunability 60%





Reduced Size Branch-Line Coupler



- Size reduction 50%
- Perfect phase match

EEE

 C_{eq} serves as shunt element for both segments



Reduced Size Tunable Branch-Line Coupler



- Size reduction 50%
- Perfect phase shift
- Multiband operation with tunability of 60% for C_{eq}





Prototype Implementation & Assembly



Tunable Lowpass (1)



- Biasing components
- Compact dimensions
- Tuning range of 30%
- 1.5-2GHz multiband
- High losses due to moderate Q and RF isolation





Tunable Lowpass (2)



- Good agreement
- Increased loss due to varactor imbalances and prototype assembly
- Two-tone test @ 1.95GHz with Δf=5MHz and Bias=20V





Tunable Notch Filter (1)



- Cascaded varactors simplify biasing
- Compact design
- Tuning 1.5-2.1GHz
- Multiband operation
- Low losses
 IEEE





Tunable Notch Filter (2)



- Good agreement between simulation and measurement
- Two-tone test @ 1.95GHz with Δf=5MHz and Bias=20V





Tunable Combline Filter (1)



- Good agreement
- Compact dimensions
- IL < 3dB and RL > 20dB
- Tuning 1.8-2GHz





Tunable Combline Filter (2)



Tunable Wilkinson Divider (1)



Tunable Wilkinson Divider (2)



- IL < 1.2dB, Isolation > 25dB
- Size reduction 50%
- Lowpass filtering S₂₁, S₃₁
- Attenuation > 20dB at $2f_0$
- Tuning range 1.7-2.1GHz





Tunable Branch-Line Coupler (1)



- Size reduction 50%
- Lowpass filtering at all transmission paths
- Attenuation > 30dB at second harmonic





Tunable Branch-Line Coupler (2)



Tunable Branch-Line Coupler (3)

20



- Tuning range 1.82.3GHz
- IL < 2.7dB, RL > 15dB
- Amplitude error < 0.4dB
- Phase error < 5deg









System Considerations – Modulated Signals



System – Balanced Amplifier



EΕ



System – Reconfigurable Balanced Amplifier (1)



- Size reduction 50%
- Lowpass filtering
- Increased loss
- Bias voltage 5V
- Strong nonlinearities due to BST-varactors
 IEEE



System – Reconfigurable Balanced Amplifier (2)



- Distortion at voltage waveforms
- Performance still within specification
- Simulated EVM < 10%
- Simulated ACLR ~ 35dB





Tunable coupler with 3 cascaded varactors



Verification – Tunable Branch-Line Coupler









Conclusion & Outlook

Conclusion

- Potential of ferroelectrics in tunable front-end
- Reliable modeling and characterization
- Candidates for tunable microwave circuits
 - Frequency agile filters
 - Reduced size tunable dividers and couplers
- Prototype implementation & results
- Overall good agreement to simulation

Outlook

Integration of tunable subsystems into front-end





